

United States Patent and Trademark Office

eur

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,336	03/03/2004	Younglok Kim	I-2-0181.2US	4553
24374 VOLPE AND I	7590 04/25/2007 KOENIG, P.C.	EXAMINER		
DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			VO, DON NGUYEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/792,336	KIM, YOUNGLOK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DON N. VO	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS at cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	— s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E		•				
Disposition of Claims	pane quayio, 1000 0.2.	, 100 0.0. 210.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s). <u>1-5 and 9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8 and 10-12</u> is/are objected to.	_					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		r ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	all Date nal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/3/04; 6/18/04 & 1/20/06</u> .	6) Other:	Lient pproduon				

Application/Control Number: 10/792,336

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Applicant is respectfully requested to provide the date (at least the year) for the references AM and AN cited in FORM PTO –1449 filed on 6/18/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation of "generating ... data blocks" recited in claim 1, line 8 is vague because it appears that it does not provide any useful purpose since the result of that step is not used by any other steps.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422

F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-5 and 9 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 6 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,864. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-3, 6 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,864 cover and encompass the limitations of claims 1-5 and 9 of the instant application. Moreover, it is well settled that the omission of an element and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963).

The corresponding of claims are as follow: claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,864 covers claims 1 and 2 of instant application; claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,864 covers claim 3 of instant application; claim 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,864 covers claim 4 of instant application; claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,864 covers claim 5 of instant application; and claim 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,864 covers claim 9 of instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,336

Art Unit: 2611

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6-8 and 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References Davis et al (US 6,091,361), Michels et al (US 6,226,321), Yousef (US 2005/0041760), De et al (US 7,133,412) are cited because they are pertinent to the STTD communication system.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DON N. VO whose telephone number is (571) 272-3018. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (9:00AM 6:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAY PATEL can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,336

Art Unit: 2611

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DON N. VO

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2611